Title of Report:	Taxi	Livery and Advertising	
Report to be considered by:	Licensing		
Date of Meeting:	24 March 2015		
Forward Plan Ref:	N/A		
Purpose of Report:	_	To inform Members of a request from the taxi trade to standardise livery and advertising on West Berkshire taxis	
Recommended Act	ion:	Require the Council's Livery, only, to be displayed i.e. No advertising at all.	
Reason for decision to be taken:		Request from the trade	
Other options considered:		To adopt the proposal	
		To dispense with advertising conditions completely but keep the Council's livery conditions for both hackney carriages and private hire vehicles i.e. allow advertising at will.	
		Keep the current conditions for both hackney carriages and private hire vehicles	
Key background documentation:		Responses to consultation attached to this report as indices.	
Published Works:		Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1976 West Berkshire Council Hackney Carriage Condition	

The proposals contained in this report will help to achieve the following Council Strategy priority:

CSP2 – Promoting a vibrant district

Portfolio Member Details				
Name & Telephone No.:	Councillor Hilary Cole - Tel 01635 248542			
E-mail Address:	hcole@westberks.gov.uk			
Date Portfolio Member agreed report:	11 March 2015			
Contact Officer Details				
Name:	Brian Leahy			
Job Title:	Team Manager Licensing			
Tel. No.:	01635 519494			
E-mail Address:	bleahy@westberks.gov.uk			

Implications

Policy:	The Council's current policy is to allow advertising under conditional controls
Financial:	None
Personnel:	None
Legal/Procurement:	Adopting or amending the advertising/Livery standards would require an update to the Standard Conditions for taxis.
Property:	None
Risk Management:	None

Is this item relevant to equality?	Please tick relevant boxes	Yes	No			
Does the policy affect service users, employ and:	ees or the wider community					
 Is it likely to affect people with particular p differently? 	protected characteristics		\square			
Is it a major policy, significantly affecting I	now functions are delivered?		\boxtimes			
 Will the policy have a significant impact o operate in terms of equality? 	n how other organisations		\square			
 Does the policy relate to functions that en being important to people with particular people 			\square			
Does the policy relate to an area with know	wn inequalities?		\boxtimes			
Outcome (Where one or more 'Yes' boxes are ticked, the item is relevant to equality)						
Relevant to equality - Complete an EIA avail	able at <u>http://intranet/EqIA</u>					
Not relevant to equality			\square			

1. Introduction

1.1 The Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1976 sections 47 and 48 allows for a district council to attach conditions to both hackney carriage and private hire vehicles.

1.2 West Berkshire Council and its predecessor have set standard conditions for licences for many years. Conditions for hackney carriages are attached as Appendix A and those for private hire are attached as Appendix B.

2. Proposals

2.1 The trade has proposed new conditions under sections 17 and 20 of the current conditions for hackney carriages and included private hire vehicles. This document is attached as Appendix C.

2.2 As the proposal included both hackney carriage and private hire officers decided to consult on the proposals before reporting to Committee.

3. Equalities Impact Assessment Outcomes

3.1 This item is not relevant to equality.

4. Conclusion

4.1 The conditions submitted by the trade are condensed and although there is a reference to particular sections for hackney carriages, there is no similar reference for private hire. Officers have therefore assumed that the conditions are to apply for both types of vehicle.

4.2 The current conditions are lengthy and officers admit that there are a large number of vehicles which are not currently conforming to the conditions.

4.3 Without an increased amount of enforcement work it is the opinion of officers that regardless of which conditions apply, there will still be defaulters within the fleet.

1. Introduction

- 1.1 Consultation on the proposal was carried out with a limited number of responses received. The consultation letter is shown as Appendix D and responses are attached as Appendix E.
- 1.2 There were 5 responses to the consultation with 4 being for and 1 being against. (Appendix E)
- 1.3 2 of the responses, 1 for, and 1 against were compromised through admin error however the full text of both is shown below.
- 1.4 Response from Mr Paul Westbrook:
 - My vote NOT IN FAVOUR
 - I do not understand why I cannot advertise my vehicle in any way I see fit (in the same way any other business does). I accept that there is good taste and decency to bear in mind.
 - I additionally do not understand why a gas guzzling London taxi can be exempt whilst pumping 23mpg fumes into our clean town, as a proposal.
 - The front doors and windows must be kept clear for WBC and statutory notifications. The rest of the car would be therefore mine to promote my business as I see fit. Ladbrokes can advertise in their shop window that they offer better odds than Stan James. Vodaphone can advertise their costs are cheaper than orange etc, why can I not promote my prices to everyone if I want.
 - I jump through the proverbial moving goal posts every time I bring a vehicle onto my fleet. I should not be told how I chose to recoup my investment through advertising of my or any other business; I already advertise yours on my doors, plate and livery.
 - I accept that further discussion is needed but when I see Dolphin Cars flouting the rules every day, Premier Cars (Reading) do not conform, many cars not carrying ramps, fire extinguishers etc etc, is it really at the top of the taboo list how I advertise. After all CABCO clearly advertise CAB on their Private hire vehicles. Should I buy a private hire vehicle can I cover it with WESTBROOK TAXIS as it is my trading name. Most have an additional CABCO advert above the WBC taxi signage. Not addressed by WBC.
 - WBC and Trading Standards are aware of all of the above, as I have reported it myself over the past 20 months without anyone having had to remove illegal signage. Perhaps it has become a free for all.
 - Livery should allow me to promote my business (or any as I chose fit) on or in my business premises without causing offence to anyone.
- 1.5 Response from Mr Riaz Sheik:

- In Favour need to see a uniform policy on livery right across the board to adhere with the current T&C's. This matter is well overdue and almost every single Taxi in West Berks is currently breaking the rules and Licensing have not enforced anything so far.
- 1.6 Officers apologise to the above 2 persons for the admin error.

Appendices

Appendix A - Hackney Carriage Conditions Appendix B - Private Hire Conditions Appendix C - Trade Proposal Appendix D - Consultation Letter Appendix E - Trade Responses to Consultation

Consultees

Local Stakeholders:All taxi and private Hire vehicle licence holdersOfficers Consulted:Julia O'Brien Principal Licensing OfficerTrade Union:N/A